

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Habitat Structure and Hydrology 2016 Preliminary Results

Amy Borde, Val Cullinan, Shon Zimmerman, Colleen Trostle, and Amanda Hanson

Science Work Group Meeting October 25, 2016

What We Measured

- Hydrology
- Temperature
- Photo Points
- Elevation
- Sediment Accretion
- Vegetation Cover
- Vegetation Community
- Primary Production









What We Measured

Hydrology

- ► Temperature
- Photo Points
- Elevation
- Sediment Accretion
- Vegetation Cover
- Vegetation Community
- Primary Production















Overall Impressions

Vegetation is lush at all sites, except Baker Bay

- Baker Bay appeared to be dying back, past the peak
- Water smartweed (Polygonum amphibium) very dominant at Franz Lake
- Wapato tall and dense at Cunningham and Campbell Slough
- First year since 2005 that wapato covered much of the "Lake"
- Reed canarygrass still dominant, not affected by hydrology either way

Beaver dam is back at Franz Lake

- Farther down channel
- Lower willow cover
- More ponded water behind dam





Vegetation Cover





Vegetation Cover

- Wapato responds favorably to lower inundation levels
- Spikerush more variable, but generally higher cover with lower inundation
- Reed canarygrass seems to peak at moderate inundation levels, though still relatively high cover at low levels



Inundation (SEV, m/growing season)



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

National Wetland Condition Assessment

- For Vegetation used a multi-metric index (VMMI)
 - Floristic Quality Assessment Index (FQAI)
 - Relative Importance of native species
 - Number of species tolerant to disturbance
 - Relative cover of native monocots



Mary Kentula et al., EPA 2016

Floristic Quality Assessment

- Coefficient of Conservatism (C-value): An assigned value describing the tendency of an individual plant species to occur in disturbed versus pristine conditions.
- Values are state or regionally specific and scaled from
 - 0 = non-natives or widespread, generalist species that thrive under disturbed conditions
 - 10 = occur in specific habitats that are minimally disturbed
 - FQA database (>2700 species)

FQA calculator

$$\frac{\overline{Cn}}{10} * \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{S}} \bigg) * 100$$



 Forstic Quality Assessment for Washington Vegetation

> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 Seattle, Washiington

Prepared by F. Joseph Rocchio and Rex. C. Crawford

January 18, 2013

Natural Heritage Report 2013-03

MASHINGTON NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM



http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/communities/fqa.html

C-Values for LCRE species



| | Number Percent | | |
|----------|----------------|---------|--|
| C-Value | of species | species | |
| 0 | 98 | 28% | |
| 1 | 8 | 2% | |
| 2 | 19 | 5% | |
| 3 | 67 | 19% | |
| 4 | 87 | 25% | |
| 5 | 32 | 9% | |
| 6 | 14 | 4% | |
| 7 | 10 | 3% | |
| 8 | 3 | 1% | |
| 9 | 1 | 0% | |
| no value | 15 | 4% | |
| Total | 354 | | |

| Species | Common name | C-Value |
|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Carex lyngbyei | Lyngbyei sedge | 5 |
| Eleocharis palustris | Common spikerush | 4 |
| Phalaris arundinacea | Reed canaygrass | 0 |
| Polvaonum amphibium | Water smartweed | 4 |
| Sagittaria latifolia | Wapato | 7 |

Floristic Quality Assessment



| Site | BBM | SRM-L | SRM-H | WI2 | WHC | CLM | CS1 | FLM |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Mean C (native species) | 5.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Mean C (all species) | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Mean C (native | | | | | | | | |
| herbaceous) | 5.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| FQAI (native species) | 18.58 | 18.35 | 22.46 | 23.53 | 21.92 | 15.75 | 17.70 | 18.10 |
| FQAI (all species) | 18.42 | 19.05 | 20.73 | 21.77 | 20.02 | 14.77 | 14.85 | 16.64 |
| Adjusted FQAI | 45.07 | 36.71 | 35.07 | 36.30 | 33.43 | 33.58 | 29.09 | 33.05 |
| % intolerant (C value >= 7) | 12% | 4% | 7% | 5% | 2% | 5% | 5% | 7% |
| % tolerant (C value =< 3) | 29% | 24% | 49% | 40% | 47% | 45% | 51% | 47% |
| Species Richness (all) | 17 | 25 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 22 | 37 | 30 |
| Species Richness (native) | 13 | 19 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 16 | 22 | 21 |
| % Non-native | 24% | 24% | 27% | 26% | 35% | 27% | 41% | 30% |
| Wet Indicator (all) | -4.15 | -4.79 | -3.43 | -4.11 | -4.11 | -4.24 | -3.48 | -3.27 |
| Wet Indicator (native) | -4.45 | -4.78 | -3.82 | -4.32 | -4.54 | -4.57 | -4.39 | -3.88 |
| % hydrophyte | 71% | 76% | 68% | 76% | 74% | 73% | 62% | 60% |
| % native perennial | 59% | 60% | 63% | 55% | 51% | 55% | 43% | 53% |
| % native annual | 18% | 8% | 2% | 7% | 2% | 9% | 5% | 7% |
| % annual | 20% | 10% | 3% | 8% | 3% | 10% | 9% | 15% |
| % perennial | 80% | 76% | 87% | 77% | 82% | 75% | 76% | 70% |
| % native forbs | 35% | 56% | 46% | 50% | 42% | 55% | 35% | 43% |
| % native graminoids | 41% | 20% | 17% | 17% | 19% | 14% | 16% | 17% |

Primary Productivity



| | Live | Dead |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Net Dry Wt | Average Net Dry Wt |
| Row Labels | (g/m2) | (g/m2) |
| CALY | 669 | 120 |
| CALY/AGSP | 309 | 77 |
| ELPA/SALA | 255 | 61 |
| HM | 448 | 58 |
| LM | 96 | 11 |
| PHAR | 440 | 100 |
| POAM | 700 | 548 |
| SALA | 190 | 21 |
| SALA/ELPA | 127 | 410 |

