# Assessing Habitat and Effectiveness of

# **Restoration Actions**

Catherine Corbett, Daniel Evans, and Matthew Schwartz Science Work Group Meeting June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2017



## **The Struggle:**

When you take a site that looks like this:

• Simplified channel, full of invasive species, warm temperatures



# Spend a ton of thought, money and time to restore it to this:

 More habitat complexity; mix of native and invasive species; cooler temps



### How do you know if you are successful? How do you quantify benefits? For which species?

### **Concepts for consideration:**



- **Figure 2.1**. General Model of Ecosystem State. An ecosystem or habitat that is in rudimentary condition with low functioning develops into a system with optimal structure and functioning (after Thom 2000). Development can take several pathways and can oscillate between system states.
  - Conceptual Model Enhancement and Restoration involves taking a site from an unacceptable to a desirable condition

## **Concepts for consideration:**

Rudimentary

FUNCTION

Optimal	•functions are independent of structure •functions are best at early stage of development •anomalous condition	•functions are best at intermediate stage of development	•function and structure are fully developed •stable ecosystem •self-maintaining •resilient
Intermediate	•functions are intermediate at early stage •early stage of development •moderate disturbance/disruption	•functions are intermediate at intermediate stage •intermediate stage of development •moderate disturbance/disruption	•moderate function at full structural development •moderate correlation of function with structure •moderate disturbance/disruption
None - Low	•early in development •failed structure •high disturbance/disruption	•functions are low at intermediate stage •incorrect community •moderate disturbance/disruption	<ul> <li>low function at full structural development</li> <li>incorrect community</li> <li>anomalous condition</li> </ul>

From Thom 2000

Climax

#### STRUCTURE

Intermediate

Fig. 4. Generalized system-development matrix showing the 9 states a restored system can occupy during development (redrawn from Thom, 1997).

- Conceptual Model Enhancement and Restoration involves taking a site from an unacceptable to a desirable condition
- Ultimate Goal Going from the Conceptual Model to a Quantifiable Assessment Tool

### **Goal 1 - Quantifying Improvements to a Site**



### When we might only collect data at a subset of that site

### **Goal 2 - Quantifying Improvements to a Landscape**



## Goal 3 – Knowing When/If Additional Intervention is Needed (species related?)



#### Manual for the Oregon Rapid Wetland Assessment Protocol (ORWAP)

Version 3.1 Paul Adamus, Ph.D., Adamus Resource Assessment, Inc. Kathy Verble, CPSS, Oregon Department of State Lands



November 2016



#### This manual should be cited as:

Adamus, P., K. Verble, and M. Rudenko. 2016. Manual for the Oregon Rapid Wetland Assessment Protocol (ORWAP, revised). Version 3.1. Oregon Dept. of State Lands, Salem, OR.

#### The actual protocol should be cited as:

Adamus, P., J. Morlan, K. Verble, and A. Buckley. 2016. Oregon Rapid Wetland Assessment Protocol (ORWAP, revised): Version 3.1 calculator spreadsheet, databases, and data forms. Oregon Dept. of State Lands, Salem, OR.

#### The supporting website should be cited as:

Rempel, M., P. Adamus, and J. Kagan. 2015. Oregon Explorer - Oregon Rapid Wetland Assessment Protocol (ORWAP) Map Viewer: an internet tool for ORWAP wetland assessment support and data archiving. Oregon State University Library and Institute for Natural Resources, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. Internet:

http://tools.oregonexplorer.info/oe\_map\_viewer\_2\_0/Viewer.html?Viewer=orwap

This manual, the calculator spreadsheet, supporting data files, data forms and other wetland assessment guidebooks may be downloaded from the Oregon Department of State Lands' Technical Resource web page (under construction at time of printing) or www.oregonstate.edu/-adamusp/.

Updates also will be posted periodically at these locations.

For more information about this protocol and opportunities to be trained in its use, please contact:

Kathy Verble Aquatic Resource Specialist Oregon Department of State Lands 775 Summer St. NE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301-1279 phone: (503) 986-5321 kathy.verble@dsl.state.or.us Paul Adamus Adamus Resource Assessment, Inc. 6028 NW Burgundy Dr. Corvallis, OR 97330 phone: (541) 745-7092 email: <u>adamus7@comcast.net</u>

# **ORWAP Overview**

- Standardized Protocol
- Rapid Assessment in a Single Site Visit
- Based on Peer-Reviewed Literature
- Repeatable and Accurate



## **ORWAP: What Does it Measure?**



# **ORWAP: How is it Built?**

- Literature reviews of indicators
- Selecting relevant indicators/ranking importance
- Excel-based logic model
- Normalized scores



# **ORWAP: Using the Results**

- Design Tool
- Adaptive Management
- Monitoring trajectory

...but some caveats



# **ORWAP Conceptual Model**











ORWAP Model Outputs

Site Name:	Batwater Station (POST) DE							
Investigator Name:								
Date of Field Assessment:							2	
ores will appear below after data are entered in worksheets OF, F, T, and S. See Manual for definitions and descriptions of how pres were computed and ratings assigned.								
Normalize	Normalized Scores & Ratings for this Assessment Area (AA):							
Specific Functions or Values:	Function Score	Function Rating	Rating Break Proximity	Values Score	Values Rating	Rating Break Proximity	Function Score (raw)	Values Score (raw)
Water Storage & Delay (WS)	0.00	Lower		0.00	Lower		0.00	0.00
Sediment Retention & Stabilization (S	8.69	Higher		6.49	Higher	MH	8.75	4.94
Phosphorus Retention (PR)	7.31	Higher		6.03	Moderate	MH	7.69	4.82
Nitrate Removal & Retention (NR)	8.62	Higher		4.79	Moderate		8.89	4.82
Anadromous Fish Habitat (FA)	7.68	Moderate	MH	10.00	Higher		6.74	10.00
Resident Fish Habitat (FR)	7.85	Higher		3.33	Moderate		6.7 <mark>4</mark>	3.33
Amphibian & Reptile Habitat (AM)	8.41	Higher		3.74	Lower		7.63	3.74
Waterbird Nesting Habitat (WBN)	0.00	Lower		0.00	Lower		0.00	0.00
Waterbird Feeding Habitat (WBF)	6.97	Higher		10.00	Higher		<mark>5</mark> .77	10.00
Aquatic Invertebrate Habitat (INV)	3.81	Lower	LM	7.33	Higher		4.90	7.50
Songbird, Raptor, Mammal Habitat (s	10.00	Higher		5.00	Moderate		10.00	5.00
Water Cooling (WC)	0.00	Lower		0.00	Lower		0.00	0.00
Native Plant Diversity (PD)	0.00	Lower		0.00	Lower		0.00	0.00
Pollinator Habitat (POL)	8.34	Higher		3.09	Moderate		7.19	<mark>2.5</mark> 0
Organic Nutrient Export (OE)	6.79	Moderate	MH			·	6.01	
Carbon Sequestration (CS)	6.13	Moderate	MH				5.37	















## **Function & Value Scores**





- Use the existing ORWAP framework to create a model specific to the lower Columbia River and estuary
- Review appropriateness of questions related to species in our region
- Determine how current AEMR and/or EMP data can be used to improve scoring
- Self validate model
- Generate scores of for wetlands by reach

## **Questions & Discussion**